UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,863	09/26/2003	Paul A. Edney	15066US01	1278
	7590 01/05/200 HELD & MALLOY	·· EXAMINER		
McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. 34th Floor			KISH, JAMES M	
500 W. Madisor Chicago, IL 606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2			3737	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/672,8	363	EDNEY ET AL.	EDNEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		James K		3737				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. latutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC oplication to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
′=	<u> </u>							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.	•				
	on Papers		·					
	-	- F						
• —	The specification is objected to by the		accepted or b)	O shipsted to by the Eve	minos			
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
' '/	The dath of declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	iole lile allacile	sa Office Action of Torrit	10-132.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority				_			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		n received in this Nationa	il Stage			
	application from the Internation	•						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cer	tified copies no	it received.				
Attachment	• •	,	A) 🗖 (=+== 2)	(DTC 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	/	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wake (US Patent App. 2002/0100864) in view of Slepicka et al. (Applied Optics). Wake discloses photo-detection circuit for usie in a laser imaging apparatus. The apparatus produces a digital signal representing the power level of the laser beam after propagating through the breast tissue. This photon-intensity is later plotted against time, called the Temporal Point Spread Function (TPSF) curve. This curve can be fitted to the diffusion equation. After curve fitting, the diffusion equation can be used to determine the optical characteristics of the breast. The approximate bandwidth for the detector circuit is 1.2 GHz, therefore providing an optimized frequency range (paragraphs 68-73). While Wake discloses providing curve fitting, there is no mention of non-linear regression. Slepicka teaches stabilized non-linear regression for interferogram analysis. The measured intensity is shown by Equation (1). When there is no background intensity [B(x)], this equation is in the form of that as claimed in claim 2 and similar claims. However, when B(x) is equal to Asin($2\pi(f_o+\sigma t)t+\Phi_o$), this also is in the form of the equation as claimed in claim 3 and similar claims. Slepicka even states that the background intensity [B(x)] and the modulation amplitude [A(x)] are slowly

Art Unit: 3737

varying functions; however, they can contain additive and multiplicative high-frequency noise that are contributed by speckles for diffuse illumination, which include particle or extraneous diffraction. Figure 3 shows a curve fitting having been performed for a period of time that is less than one full wave cycle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use non-linear regression instead of other approximation methods, such as Fourier series approximation, as is evident by the results shown in Table 1 of Slepicka. The regression method appears to be a proper choice for accurately extracting phase information near discontinuities (pg. 5042).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Kish whose telephone number is 571-272-5554. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 ~ Mon. - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3737

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER